IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.BASANT

TUESDAY, THE 9TH JANUARY 2007 / 19TH PAUSHA 1928

Crl.MC.No. 4135 of 2005()

CRRP.2/2005 of SESSIONS COURT, KALPETTA
MC.42/2003 of JUDL. MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS-II, SULTHANBATHERY

.....

PETITIONER: REVISION PETITIONER/RESPONDENT:

PEETHAMBARAN A.K.,
ALAKADAVIL HOUSE, VELIYAMBAM POST, PULPALLY.

BY ADV. SRI.LALJI P.THOMAS

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT:

---

1. INDIRA K.V.,
KUTTENTHRAPPEL HOUSE, VAKERI MOODAKKOLLY,
IRULAM AMSOM, DESOM, SULTHAN BATHERY TALUK.

2. SOORYA, AGED 6 YEARS (MINOR),
REPRESENTED BY HER MOTHER INDIRA,
KUTTANTHRAPPEL HOUSE, VAKERI, MOODAKKOLLY,
IRULAM AMSOM, DESOM, SULTHAN BATHERY TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.S.M.PRASANTH
DR.K.BALAKRISHNAN

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 09/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

R. BASANT, J.

CRL.M.C.NO. 4135 OF 2005

Dated this the 9th day of January, 2007

ORDER

The petitioner is aggrieved by the concurrent direction issued to him under Sec.125 of the Cr.P.C. to pay maintenance at the rate of Rs.500/- and Rs.300/- per mensem respectively to the claimants – admittedly, his wife and daughter.

2. Marriage and paternity are not disputed. What then is the contention? The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the quantum of maintenance awarded is excessive. The admitted version of the petitioner is that he is a coolie. Even accepting all his contentions, I find absolutely no justification in the grievance that the quantum of maintenance awarded is excessive. Going by any standards, the amount awarded must be held to be absolutely modest and reasonable. No other contentions are raised.

2. In the result, this Crl.M.C. is dismissed. The amount, if any, deposited shall forthwith be released to the claimants.

(R. BASANT, JUDGE)

Nan/